1	NICHOLAS A. TRUTANICH						
2	United States Attorney District of Nevada						
3	Nevada Bar No. 13644 LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX Nevada Bar No. 14040						
4	Email: Lisa.Cartier-Giroux@usdoj.gov KIMBERLY SOKOLICH						
5	Email: Kimberly.Sokolich@usdoj.gov Assistant United States Attorneys						
6	501 Las Vegas Blvd. South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101						
7	Phone: (702) 388-6336 Representing the United States of America						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA						
10	United States Of America,	)	Case No. 2	2:20-mj-00661-DJ	ſΑ		
11	Plaintiff,	)	ORDER <u>Hearing</u>	To Continue	<b>Preliminary</b>		
12	vs.	)	110411115	(Second Reques	st)		
13	ALEXANDER KOSTAN,	)					
14	Defendant.	)					
15							
16	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED	AND	AGREED	, by and betwee	n Nicholas A		
17	Trutanich, United States Attorney; Lisa	Cartie	r Giroux, A	ssistant United St	tates Attorney;		
18	Kimberly Sokolich, Assistant United States Attorney, representing the United States o						
19	America and Robert Langord, Esq., counsel for defendant Alexander Kostan, that the						
20	preliminary hearing in the above captioned case, which is currently scheduled for Octobe						
21	26, 2020 at 4:00pm, be continued and reset to a date and time convenient to the Court, bu						
22	no sooner than sixty (60) days.						
23	1. The government provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 10						
24	Discovery, however, due to technical difficulties defense counsel has not be able to view the						

discovery. The government will re-provide defense counsel with a new copy of the discovery. Counsel for the defendant requests time to review the discovery and discuss it with his client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment. Additionally, the parties are discussing a pre-indictment resolution that may resolve the matter without a preliminary hearing.

- 2. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to review discovery with their client and prepare for the preliminary hearing.
  - 3. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance.
- 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
- 5. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) provides that a magistrate judge may extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c) with the defendant's consent and upon a showing of good cause taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. Because the defendant requires time to review discovery with their client prior to the preliminary hearing, good cause exists to extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c).
- 6. The time from October 26, 2020, to the new preliminary hearing date will be excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), which provides that the Court may exclude time arising from a continuance upon finding that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial.
- 7. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

1	8.	The additional time req	uested by this stipulation is excludable in computing					
2	the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title							
3	18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United							
4	States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).							
5	9.	9. This is the second request to continue the preliminary hearing.						
6	DATED this 21 day of October, 2020.							
7								
8	1	AS A. TRUTANICH tes Attorney	/s/ Robert Langford ROBERT LANGFORD					
9 10	Counsel for Defendant Alexander K  /s/ Lisa C. Cartier Giroux  LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX							
11	Assistant U	Jnited States Attorney						
12	/s/ Kimberly Sokolich KIMBERLY SOKOLICH Assistant United States Attorney							
13	Assistant	Jimed States Attorney						
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

United States Of America,	) Case No. Case No. 2:20-mj-00661-DJA
Plaintiff,	) )
	) Findings and Order on Stipulation
VS.	)
Alexander Kostan,	) ) )
Defendant.	)

Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that:

- 1. The government provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16 Discovery, however, due to technical difficulties defense counsel has not be able to view the discovery. The government will re-provide defense counsel with a new copy of the discovery. Counsel for the defendant requests time to review the discovery and discuss it with his client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment.
- 2. To allow the defense time to review the discovery with their client prior to the preliminary hearing and with the defendant's consent, the preliminary hearing in this case should be continued for good cause.
- 3. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance.
- 4. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
- 5. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to review discovery with their client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment.

	I
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	ç
1	C
1	1
1	2
1	
1	
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	ç
2	C
2	
2	
2	
2	4

- 6. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 7. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matters currently scheduled for October 26, 2020, at 4:00p.m., be vacated and continued to December 28, 2020, at 4:00 p.m., Courtroom 3A.

22nd DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of October, 2020.

DANIEL J. ALBREGTS, U.S. Magistrate Judge